DEMS EAT THEMSELVES ALIVE

White House, Dem infighting erupts following Kamala's overwhelming loss to Trump: 'It's a blood bath'

Some insiders have described Biden as an "81-year-old albatross hanging around Harris's neck."

Democrats in the White House are experiencing significant internal strife after Kamala Harris's defeat to Donald Trump in the presidential election, with blame being cast on different individuals within the party.

Following Harris' loss, the vice president's aides are directing criticism toward President Joe Biden, pointing to his handling of key issues such as inflation and immigration, as well as concerns about his cognitive abilities, verbal gaffes, and his refusal to exit the race earlier. Some insiders described Biden as an "81-year-old albatross hanging around Harris's neck," according to a report by the Daily Mail. The outlet quoted one observer saying that the blame game within the Democratic Party is a "blood bath."

Former House Speaker Nancy Pelosi echoed these sentiments by Harris aides in a recent interview with The New York Times. "Had the president gotten out sooner, there may have been other candidates in the race... there would be an open primary," she remarked.

However, the Biden team has pushed back against this narrative. Per the Dail Mail, one White House insider argued that Trump's overwhelming victory made it clear that Harris was unable to win regardless of how long she was the nominee for president. Biden loyalists also highlighted that he remains the only Democrat to have successfully

defeated Trump, emphasizing Harris's historically low popularity as vice president prior to becoming the nominee.

The apparent tension between the Bidens and Harris was further underscored by the absence of both Joe and Jill Biden from Harris's election watch party. Speculation grew after Biden's address acknowledging Harris's loss, during which observers noted he appeared unusually upbeat. Similar claims arose when Biden and Trump were seen smiling together during Trump's visit to the White House, as there have long been rumors that the Bidens are still frustrated by Joe's ousting as the nominee in the 2024 election.

Discontent within Harris's camp has reportedly been widespread. A Democratic official told Reuters last week that campaign staffers "felt lied to by the campaign." Staffers were reportedly angered after exit polling revealed Trump's significant gains among key demographics the party counted on.

"How do you lose a campaign this badly?" questioned one party strategist. "For weeks, they told us this campaign would be tight. And really, it wasn't even close."

The blame game has even extended to former President Barack Obama and his circle of advisors.

"There is no singular reason why we lost, but a big reason is because [former] Obama advisers publicly encouraged Democratic infighting to push Joe Biden out," a former Biden staffer said about David Axelrod, per the Daily Mail.

I said before that an intraparty civil war may be brewing in the Democratic Party in the wake of the Republican Party's tremendous victory in the 2024 elections. And evidence continues to build.

Everyone is looking to blame someone for the loss. Fingers have been pointed at Joe Biden for not dropping out earlier, Kamala Harris for running a terrible campaign, and Tim Walz for being Tim Walz. The party's problems appear to be getting worse, as Pelosi is <u>now being told</u> to "take a seat" and get out of the way.

Among other issues, Pelosi faces mounting criticism from her Democrat colleagues for publicly undermining her successor, House Minority Leader Hakeem Jeffries. Pelosi's recent remarks, particularly in an interview with the New York Times, have ignited a firestorm within the party, with several Democrats privately expressing their frustration.

The tension stems from Pelosi's comments suggesting that President Joe Biden should have exited the 2024 race earlier. "Had that happened, there may have been other candidates in the race," she said, fueling yet another round of finger-pointing between Biden and Harris factions.

While the Times article included more complimentary and caveated language than originally indicated, the damage was done. Pelosi, once the undisputed power in the House, has once again sparked divisions within her own party.

Flashback: Civil War Is Breaking Out in the Democratic Party

Some Democrats are now openly questioning Pelosi's role, with one senior lawmaker bluntly telling Axios, "She needs to take a seat." Others, including a member of the Congressional Black Caucus, have said that her behavior is detrimental to the party's future. "Making scattershot comments is not just unhelpful, it's damaging," said the lawmaker, adding, "Hakeem has been tremendously graceful and respectful of her, but I don't think she is being respectful of him."

Pelosi's actions are striking a nerve at a critical moment. After the Democrats flopped hard in the 2024 elections, there's growing discontent within the ranks. Jeffries, widely respected within the party, has found himself in the crosshairs of those seeking to blame past failures on his leadership. However, Jeffries, in a rare public acknowledgment, has taken full responsibility, telling members of the caucus, "the buck stops with me." In private conversations, Democrats have been quick to point out that Pelosi's attempts to maintain relevance in the party are undermining Jeffries' leadership. "I understand that this is a difficult transition for her, not being the leader, but she is not," said a member of the Congressional Black Caucus, who insisted on anonymity.

This growing tension has created a rift between Pelosi and Jeffries' supporters. While some continue to admire Pelosi's past accomplishments, others are beginning to view her as a roadblock to the future. "She needs to understand what her new role is," the lawmaker added.

Ouch.

The escalating civil war within the Democratic Party has placed Pelosi's future and influence in doubt. Her colleagues are beginning to wonder whether she will finally fade into the background, as promised after losing the speakership two years ago, or if she will continue to meddle in the party's affairs, further complicating the difficult transition to new leadership.

In the end, as one senior lawmaker put it, Pelosi's ongoing interference is not just unhelpful, it's downright damaging. She's a San Francisco liberal; what did they expect?

The party is at a crossroads, and Democrats are beginning to question whether their past leader is still the best person to lead them into the future.

I think we all know she isn't.

House Judiciary Committee Chairman Jim Jordan is aggressively investigating a series of lame-duck actions the Biden Justice Department has been taking during its last few weeks in power, saying a recent flurry of activity against businesses and red states smacks of a new wave of politically weaponized government action.

In a wide-ranging interview with Just the News on Friday, Jordan cited DOJ efforts to investigate Elon Musk and his companies after his overt support for Donald Trump's campaign, threatening letters sent to states seeking to remove noncitizens from voters rolls and several hastily launched antitrust inquiries as examples of potentially abusive DOJ behavior.

"This pattern of turning these agencies on the very people they're supposed to serve -we the people, the taxpayers -- has been a concern from the get-go," he told the Just the
News, No Noise television show. "We're concerned now with what they may be doing
with the antitrust issue, going after companies as they're heading out the door.

"Of course, right prior to the elections, we saw the Civil Rights Division in the Justice Department going after Virginia for simply keeping noncitizens from voting in our elections," he added. "Imagine that we got the same thing in Ohio, our Secretary of State was sent a letter three weeks before Election Day saying they were concerned about the good work he was doing. So we're always concerned about this. We're going to keep working."

Jordan sent a letter Friday to Assistant Attorney General Jonathan Kanter, who oversees the DOJ's antitrust division, demanding answers about a recent spate of activity since Trump was declared the winner of the Nov. 5 election.

"According to information available to the Committee, the Antitrust Division aggressively moved to escalate its regulation of American businesses shortly following the election of President Trump," Jordan wrote Kanter. "Specifically, we have received allegations that the Division sent demand letters to numerous businesses indicating an intention to start enforcement actions in the final days of the Biden-Harris Administration.

"With the American people clearly rejecting the failed policies of the Biden-Harris Administration, the Division's actions are inappropriate and inconsistent with the will of the American people," he said.

You can read the full letter here.

 $\underline{FordanLetterDOHAntiTrust11-16-24.pdf}$

1

The letter demands that the DOJ "preserve all existing and future records and materials responsive to any request for documents and information related to the Committee's oversight of the DOJ Antitrust Division."

Jordan also made clear he plans to continue to investigate the behavior of Special Prosecutor Jack Smith in his pursuit of criminal charges against Trump, even if Smith drops the cases and resigns as widely expected.

"That's why we put them on notice. Preserve everything and understand the Congress. The history is that Congress oversees the special counsel," he said.

The chairman said he was particularly interested in exploring whether one of Smith's deputies, Jay Bratt, had contact with the Biden White House as the criminal cases against Trump were being conceived.

"We have concerns about Mr. Bratt. What were his conversations with people when it looks like he was at the White House? We want to know if, in fact, that happened, who he who he talked to, what he talked about, any correspondence relevant to that," Jordan explained.

"We think all that is worthy of us getting information on and making sure, again, this kind of stuff doesn't, not supposed to happen, shouldn't happen in the future," the Ohio Republican explained.

Jordan also signaled he will use his perch atop the committee next year to make a new push for long-awaited reforms of Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) spying. Jordan nearly succeeded in the last year in getting a requirement that U.S. intelligence and the FBI get a court-ordered warrant to spy on Americans' phone calls overseas. The measure failed in a tie vote, and Jordan said he plans to reintroduce the warrant requirement when the new Congress convenes in January.

Jordan expressed particular excitement for Trump's pick of former Democratic congresswoman and presidential candidate Tulsi Gabbard to be the next Director of National Intelligence, saying he was hopeful it could tip FISA reform over the top. "Having Tulsi at ODNI. I mean, she's a champion of the First Amendment," Jordan told the Just the News, No Noise television show.

"This is what I love so much about President Trump's election, he is putting people in these Cabinet agencies, nominating people who have the attitude the American people elected, that American people voted for, which is we're going to go serve the people, protect their liberties, make government actually more efficient smaller, working for the country and protecting their rights," he added. "That I think is great, and Tulsi believes in the First Amendment, free speech and freedom."

Breaking News

<u>Lame-duck Biden humiliated with back-corner spot in APEC family photo as China's Xi</u> get place of honor in front row

POLITICS

exclusive details

Harris' campaign blew \$2.6M on private jets in final weeks of campaign By

Jon Levine,

Rich Calder and

Matthew Sedacca

o f

1

m i n

u

t e

,

commercial flights, flies in the face of her 2019 doom-saying that global warming is an "existential threat" to humanity, as well as <u>calls on the campaign trail for Americans to reduce their carbon emissions</u> to stop global warming.

s e

c

o n

d

s V

o

1 u

m

e

0



Universal Images Group via Getty Images



<u>5</u> Brian – stock.adobe.com

"Kamala Harris and a lot of pro-climate leaders have a lot of hypocrisy with the words that they state and the realities they must think are real," Benji Backer, founder and executive chairman of the American Conservation Coalition, told The Post

"We need sensible solutions on environment and climate issues, but we're not going to get them when there's so much hypocrisy coming from the elitists that everyone else needs to change their lives except for them."

Private Jet Service Group <u>claims</u> its flights are all carbon-neutral through its "reforestation program" that sequesters jets' carbon emissions. It is unclear whether

Advanced Aviation offers a similar program or whether the Harris campaign purchased carbon offsets for their flights.

It's possible Team Harris' private air fare tab could soar even higher, as the last tranche of the campaign's spending forms covering the final weeks of the presidential race are not yet public.

In recent days, the Harris campaign has been mocked and derided for wasting its \$1 billion war chest with high-profile spending, including <u>multimillion-dollar</u> celebrity-studded events and a <u>six-figure set design</u> for her appearance on the podcast interview "Call Her Daddy."



Jack Forbes /NY Post

But The Post combed over the campaign's most FEC filings and found the campaign also splurged on:

- \$12,097 on food delivery from Uber Eats and DoorDash since July
- At least \$12,081 on ice cream pints and parlors like Sweet Lucy's Ice Cream and Jeni's Splendid Ice Creams after President Biden was booted from the top of the ticket.
- \$6,000 for a "site fee" at the board game cafe Snakes and Lattes in Tempe, Ariz., where Minnesota Gov. Tim Walz <u>made a campaign stop</u> to press college students to turnout on Election Day.

- \$62,772 in room and catering fees at the posh, five-star Hotel Du Pont in Wilmington, DE, when Biden still running for office. A luxury king-bed room complete with soaking tub currently runs \$500 a night.
- \$9,600 on food and drinks at Pebble Bar near Rockefeller Center, which counts celebs Pete Davidson, in March, also while Biden still the Dem presidential nominee.

"Nobody should be shocked that Kamala Harris is not being sworn in on Jan 20," GOP consultant Erin Perrine said.

"Instead of getting the message out, they wanted to have a party. That's not how it works."

The campaign additionally forked over \$5.6 million to 24 lefty groups — a number of them black or Latino advocacy groups, or based in swing states she ended up losing — to help push her agenda and rouse voters.

Four of the groups that benefited from the campaign's largesse — Washington DC-based Voto Latino and Power Rising Action Fund, Brooklyn-based Make the Road Action Fund, and Hyattsville, MD-based CASA in Action — have also received a combined \$8.4 million from George Soros' Open Society Foundations since 2017, records show. Others included Al Sharpton's nonprofit National Action Network, which received two payments totaling \$500,000 from the campaign just weeks before Harris joined the civil rights leader on his MSNBC weekend show for a softball interview.

<u>5</u>

Facebook Jeni's Splendid Ice Creams

The biggest winner in campaign's spending in the 2024 election cycle was Media Buying & Analytics LLC, which raked in over \$281 million in ad buys and productions, according to campaign finance records. The firm is a front company for Canal Media Partners, which is headed by former Georgia Democratic Party chair Bobby Khan and has previously done work for Uber and the gun reform advocacy group Everytown for Gun Safety, the newsletter Sludge reported.

Despite the Harris campaign's monstrous spending, which combined with aligned interest groups <u>amounted to \$1.6 billion</u>, Trump made gains in every state of the union except for Washington since 2020 as he cruised to an election victory.

Team Harris, meanwhile, has found itself somehow <u>saddled with \$20 million</u> in debt, outraging Dems who <u>quickly descended</u> into finger-pointing and are demanding accountability.

"You are looking at these seven-figure luxury costs and thinking 'Couldn't that have been deployed to reach guys who listen to podcasts to Hispanic men, or reaching suburban voters?' And then you say, 'Who is making that decision? It just doen't make sense,'" Democratic strategist Jon Reinish said.

https://freebeacon.com/media/msnbc-president-has-close-ties-to-al-sharpton-nonprofit-that-took-500k-from-kamala-harris-campaign/